arXiv:1604.05067v1 [math.CA] 18 Apr 2016

A QUANTITATIVE BALIAN-LOW THEOREM FOR HIGHER DIMENSIONS

FARUK TEMUR

Abstract. We extend the quantitative Balian-Low theorem of Nitzan and Olsen to higher dimensions.

1. introduction

Uncertainty principles are statements that limit simultaneous concentration of functions and their Fourier transforms. In the last two decades significant attention has been paid to quantifying the maximum concentration that can be achieved. In this vein Nazarov proved in his seminal work [8] that, for a function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and two sets of finite measure $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq e^{-C|R||L|} \|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

for an absolute constant $C > 0$. This result quantifies the Heisenberg uncertainty priciple. Similarly it is possible to quantify the Balian-Low theorem [1, 3, 7] which states that if the Gabor system

$$G(g) := \{e^{2\pi inx} g(x-m)\}_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$$

generated by the function g is a Riesz basis, we must have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|^2 x^2 dx = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 \xi^2 d\xi = \infty.$$

Nitzan and Olsen, [9], quantified this theorem by proving for g as above and R, L are two real numbers with $R, L \geq 1$

$$\int_{|x|\geq R} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{|\xi|\geq L} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \frac{C}{RL}.$$

where C only depends on the Riesz basis bounds for the function g.

As is seen all these results are one-dimensional in nature. Although analogous results higher dimensions are conjectured, due to possibly much more complicated geometry of an arbitrary set in higher dimensions, progress has been more limited. One result in this direction is that of Jaming [6] stating that for $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and two sets of finite measure $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq e^{-CD} \|g\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Date: January 15, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42C15; Secondary: 42A38.
Key words and phrases. Uncertainty principle, Balian-Low theorem.

where $D = \min\{|\mathcal{R}||\mathcal{L}|, \alpha(\mathcal{R})|\mathcal{L}|^{\frac{1}{d}}, \alpha(\mathcal{R})|\mathcal{L}|^{\frac{1}{d}}\}$ with α denoting the mean width of a set S given by

$$\alpha(S) := \int_{SO(d)} P_\rho(S) dv_d(\rho)$$

with dv_d being the normalized Haar measure on the group of rotations $SO(d)$, and $P_\rho(S)$ being the measure of the projection of S on the line obtained by applying the rotation ρ to the line spanned by the vector $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. It is conjectured that D can be replaced by $|\mathcal{R}|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\mathcal{L}|^{\frac{1}{d}}$. Thus, this result is essentially optimal if one of the sets $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$ is very round, but it is far from optimal even when both sets are simple rectangles. Our aim in this work is to extend to higher dimensions the work of Nitzan and Olsen [9], to investigate localization on rectangles.

Theorem 1. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that the Gabor system generated by g*

$$G(g) := \{e^{2\pi i n x} g(x - m)\}_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2d}}$$

is a Riesz basis. Let $R_i, L_i \geq 1$ be real numbers for each $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$ be the d-dimensional rectangles $\mathcal{R} := (-R_1, R_1) \times \ldots \times (-R_n, R_n)$, and $\mathcal{L} := (-L_1, L_1) \times \ldots \times (-L_n, L_n)$. We then have for a constant C depending only on the Riesz basis bounds of g

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \frac{C}{R_i L_i}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq d$. The theorem is sharp in the sense that the term C/R_iL_i cannot be replaced by $C \log R_iL_i/R_iL_i$

We observe that the theorem allows us to choose the index i that makes the right hand side largest. Since we must have $R_iL_i \leq |\mathcal{R}|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\mathcal{R}|^{\frac{1}{d}}$ at least for some values of i, the term C/R_iL_i can be replaced by $C/|\mathcal{R}|^{\frac{1}{d}}|\mathcal{L}|^{\frac{1}{d}}$ in the teorem.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We introduce in the second section some standard definitions and results that will be used for the rest of the paper. Then we give certain properties of quasiperiodic functions that Nitzan and Olsen uncovered in their work. In section 4 we use these properties to prove our estimate, and then discuss certain extensions of it. We will also, using a function introduced in [2], construct a function to show that our estimate is sharp.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce concepts that will be used throughout the rest of the paper. Further information on all of these concepts can be found in [5]. We start with Riesz bases. For a separable Hilbert space H, a system $\{v_n\}$ in H is a Riesz basis if it is complete in H and

$$A \sum |a_n|^2 \leq \| \sum a_n v_n \|^2 \leq B \sum |a_n|^2$$

for any sequence $\{a_n\} \in \ell^2$ and two positive constants A and B. The largest such A and smallest such B are called the Riesz basis bounds. An equivalent definition of a Riesz basis is that it is the image of an orthonormal basis under a bounded and invertible linear operator.

We now introduce the Zak transform, which is an extremely useful tool in the study of Gabor systems. Let $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Zak transform of g is defined for

$(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ as

$$Zg(x,y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(x-k)e^{2\pi ik\cdot y}.$$

It is immediate from this definition and the Plancherel theorem that the Zak transform induces a unitary operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^2([0,1]^{2d})$. Thus for $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Zak transform Zg takes complex values for almost all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. We let $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_d$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$ the function Zg satisfies

$$Zg(x, y + e_i) = Zg(x, y), \qquad \text{and} \qquad Zg(x + e_i, y) = e^{2\pi iy_i} Zg(x, y). \tag{1}$$

We call this property quasiperiodicity. The Zak transform relates to the Fourier transform as follows

$$Z\widehat{g}(x,y) = e^{2\pi ix\cdot y} Zg(-y, x). \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, we have for any Schwarz class function ϕ,

$$Z(g * \phi) = Zg *_1 \phi, \tag{3}$$

where for $Zg = Zg(x, y)$ notation $*_1$ means convolution in the first variable x. With the Zak transform we can easily characterize the Gabor systems that are Riesz bases: a Gabor system $G(g)$ is a Riesz basis if and only if

$$A \leq |Zg(x,y)|^2 \leq B, \tag{4}$$

where A, B are Riesz basis bounds. This fact makes the Zak transform a fundamental tool in the study of the Gabor systems.

3. Properties of quasiperiodic functions

Nitzan and Olsen deduced their result by quantifying discontinuous behovior of arguments of quasiperiodic functions. It is well known that a branch of the argument of a quasiperiodic function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ cannot be continuous. Nitzan and Olsen went further, and quantified this fact with the following lemma. For the sake of completeness we provide a proof here.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a complex valued quasiperiodic function on $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let H be a branch of its argument, that is*

$$G(x,y) = |G(x,y)|e^{2\pi iH(x,y)}.$$

Let $k, n \geq 8$ be two integers, and let $(x, y) \in [0, 1/k) \times [0, 1/n)$. Then there exist two integers $1 \leq i < k,\ 1 \leq j < n$ such that at least one of the following is true for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$|H(x + (i+1)/k, y + j/n) - H(x + i/k, y + j/n) - m| > 1/8,$$
$$|H(x + i/k, y + (j+1)/n) - H(x + i/k, y + j/n) - m| > 1/8.$$

Proof. We assume to the contrary that there is a branch of the argument H for which the claim does not hold for a point $(x, y) \in [0, 1/k) \times [0, 1/n)$, with $k, n \geq 8$. We let for i, j integers $h_{i,j}$ denote $H(x + i/k, y + j/n)$. We observe that if this H presents a counterexample to the lemma, then so does infinitely many others, for by adding integers to H at points $(x + i/k, y + j/n)$ we obtain other counterexamples. Since H can be chosen from an infinite collection of counterexamples, we can, to some extent, dictate the values $h_{i,j}$. Below we will do this to obtain a contradiction with the quasiperiodicity.

We fix $h_{0,0}$, and choose $h_{i,0},\ 1 \leq i \leq k$ so as to satisfy $|h_{i,0} - h_{i-1,0}| \leq 1/8$. Thus given $h_{0,0}$ fixed, we choose $h_{i,0},\ 1 < i < k$ one by one, starting with $h_{1,0}$, so that their distance from the choice before is not more than $1/8$. Now we have $h_{i,0},\ 0 \leq i \leq k$ are all fixed. Using $h_{i,0},\ 0 \leq i < k$ we choose $h_{i,j},\ 1 \leq j \leq n$ so as to satisfy $|h_{i,j} - h_{i,j-1}| \leq 1/8$. Finally we choose $h_{k,j}$ for $1 < j \leq n$. By quasiperiodicity we must have $h_{k,0} = h_{0,0} + y + l$ for some integer l. We choose $h_{k,j} = h_{0,j} + y + j/n + l$ for $1 < j \leq n$. Thus we have $|h_{k,j} - h_{k,j-1}| \leq 1/4$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

We claim that with these choices we also have $|h_{i,n} - h_{i-1,n}| \leq 1/8$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. This we will prove through an iteration. We observe that since H is assumed to be a counterexample to the lemma, $|h_{i,1} - h_{i-1,1} - m_{i,1}| \leq 1/8$ for an integer $m_{i,1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. But it is also clear from the construction of H, and the triangle inequality that we have $|h_{i,1} - h_{i-1,1}| \leq 1/2$. Therefore, $m_{i,1} = 0$ for each value of i. If we apply the same reasoning we can obtain that for each $0 \leq j < n$ we have $|h_{i,j} - h_{i-1,j}| \leq 1/8$. By quasiperiodicity $|h_{i,n} - h_{i-1,n} - m_{i,n}| \leq 1/8$, for some integer $m_{i,n}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. But we have just discovered that $|h_{i,n-1} - h_{i-1,n-1}| \leq 1/8$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. This, together with the triangle inequality, and the construction of H establishes the claim.

We now obtain the contradiction promised by calculating two sides of the obvious equality $(h_{k,n} - h_{k,0}) - (h_{0,n} - h_{0,0}) = (h_{k,n} - h_{0,n}) - (h_{k,0} - h_{0,0})$ in two different ways. By quasiperiodicity of H, for any $0 \leq i \leq k$ the difference $h_{i,n} - h_{i,0}$ must be an integer. But since we know that $|h_{i,n} - h_{i-1,n}| \leq 1/8$, and $|h_{i,0} - h_{i-1,0}| \leq 1/8$ for each $0 < i \leq k$ the integers $h_{i,n} - h_{i,0}$, $h_{i-1,n} - h_{i-1,0}$ must be the same. Thus $h_{k,n} - h_{k,0}$ and $h_{0,n} - h_{0,0}$ must be the same, hence $(h_{k,n} - h_{k,0}) - (h_{0,n} - h_{0,0})$ must be zero. On the other hand, from our construction of H we have $(h_{k,j} - h_{0,j}) - (h_{k,j-1} - h_{0,j-1}) = 1/n$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $(h_{k,n} - h_{0,n}) - (h_{k,0} - h_{0,0}) = 1$. A contradiction.

□

The lemma we have just proved suggests that the set of points for which a branch of the argument of a quasiperiodic function changes very quickly must have a measure at least $k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1}$. The next lemma makes this rigorous.

Lemma 2. *Let $A > 0$ be a constant, and let G be a complex valued quasiperiodic function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $|G| \geq A$. Then for any two integers $k, n \geq 8$ we have a set $S \subseteq [0,1]^2$ of measure at least $k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1}$, such that for all $(x,y) \in S$ we have*

$$|G(x + k^{-1}, y) - G(x,y)| \geq A/3 \quad \textit{or} \quad |G(x, y + n^{-1}) - G(x,y)| \geq A/3.$$

Proof. Let H be a measurable branch of the argument of G. We will apply the Lemma 1 to this H. Let $1 \leq i < k,\ 1 \leq j < n$, and let m be an integer. Let $S'_{i,j,m,1}$ be the set of all $(x,y) \in [0, k^{-1}) \times [0, n^{-1})$ for which the first inequality of the previous lemma holds. We similarly define $S'_{i,j,m,2}$. Clearly these sets are measurable. From these sets we define

$$S'_{i,j,1} := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{i,j,m,1}, \quad S'_{i,j,2} := \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{i,j,m,2},$$

and we let $S'_{i,j} := S'_{i,j,1} \cup S'_{i,j,2}$. Then from the previous lemma, we have the equality

$$[0, k^{-1}) \times [0, n^{-1}) = \bigcup_{i,j} S'_{i,j}$$

Thus, sum of measures of the sets on the right hand side is at least $k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1}$. For each i, j we define $S_{i,j}$ to be the translate of $S'_{i,j}$ by (i, j). Thus the sets $S_{i,j}$ are disjoint, and for fixed i, j the set $S_{i,j}$ has the same measure as $S'_{i,j}$. If we define S to be the union of all $S_{i,j}$, its measure is at least $k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1}$, and for an element $(x, y) \in S$ one of the following is true for all integers m

$$|H(x + k^{-1}, y) - H(x, y) - m| > 1/8, \quad |H(x, y + n^{-1}) - H(x, y) - m| > 1/8. \tag{5}$$

Now suppose the first inequality is true for all m. We know that $|G(x, y)|, |G(x + k^{-1}, y)| \geq A$, but we do not know their exact relation to each other , and this prevents us from immediately concluding the proof. To circumvent this we proceed in two cases. If $||G(x+k^{-1}, y)|-|G(x, y)|| \geq A/3$, then we have the crude estimate

$$|G(x + k^{-1}, y) - G(x, y)| \geq ||G(x + k^{-1}, y)| - |G(x, y)|| \geq A/3.$$

If, on the other hand $||G(x + k^{-1}, y)| - |G(x, y)|| < A/3$, then by adding and subtracting the same term we can write $|G(x + k^{-1}, y) - G(x, y)|$ as

$$|[|G(x + k^{-1}, y)| - |G(x, y)|]e^{2\pi i H(x+k^{-1},y)} + |G(x, y)|[e^{2\pi i H(x+k^{-1},y)} - e^{2\pi i H(x,y)}]|.$$

This, by triangle inequality, cannot be less than

$$|G(x, y)||e^{2\pi i H(x+k^{-1},y)} - e^{2\pi i H(x,y)}| - ||G(x + k^{-1}, y)| - |G(x, y)||$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{2\pi i H(x+k^{-1},y)} - e^{2\pi i H(x,y)}| &= |e^{2\pi i H(x,y)}[e^{2\pi i [H(x+k^{-1},y)-H(x,y)]} - 1]| \\ &= |e^{2\pi i [H(x+k^{-1},y)-H(x,y)]} - 1| \end{aligned}$$

We know that the distance of $H(x + k^{-1}, y) - H(x, y)$ to any integer is more than $1/8$, which means that the last term is more than $2/3$. Thus returning with this information back to our estimate

$$|G(x, y)||e^{2\pi i H(x+k^{-1},y)} - e^{2\pi i H(x,y)}| - ||G(x + k^{-1}, y)| - |G(x, y)|| \geq A/3.$$

Thus in any case if the first inequality in (5) holds for all integers, we have $|G(x + k^{-1}, y) - G(x, y)| \geq A/3$. Similarly if the second inequality in (5) holds for all integers, we have $|G(x, y+n^{-1})-G(x, y)| \geq A/3$, and this concludes the proof. □

4. Proof of the main result

We shall start with a lemma that will be the fundamental tool in proving our theorem. The last lemma tells us that given a quasiperiodic function there is a set of certain size near which the function changes rapidly. Therefore on this set the function must also differ from its average over balls of large enough size. The next lemma makes rigorous this idea, using convolutions with Schwartz class functions instead of averages over balls.

Lemma 3. *Let $A, B > 0$, and let $1 \leq i \leq d$. Given two Schwartz functions ϕ, ψ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $A \leq |Zg| \leq B$ almost everywhere, there is a set $S_i \subseteq [0, 1]^{2d}$ of measure at least $A^2/4000B^2(1 + \|\phi_i\|_1)(1 + \|\psi_i\|_1)$ with ϕ_i, ψ_i denoting ith partial derivatives of ϕ, ψ, such that for all $(x, y) \in S_i$ we have*

$$|Zg(x, y) - Z(g * \phi)(x, y)| \geq A/12 \quad \text{or} \quad |Z\hat{g}(x, y) - Z(\hat{g} * \psi)(x, y)| \geq A/12.$$

Proof. For any $k_i > 0$, and any $1 \leq i \leq d$ from the property (3) of the Zak transform $|Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i, y) - Z(g*\phi)(x,y)|$ can be written as $|Zg *_1 \phi(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i, y) - Zg *_1 \phi(x,y)|$. Then we have

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Zg(u,y)||\phi(x-u+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i) - \phi(x-u)|du$$
$$\leq B \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi(x-u+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i) - \phi(x-u)|du.$$

Let $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ denote ith coordinate of u and let $\overline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with $\overline{u}$ being obtained from u by removing u_i. Since ϕ is a Schwartz function we can write,

$$= B \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x-u+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i) - \phi(x-u)|du_i d\overline{u}$$
$$= B \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{k_i^{-1}} |\phi_i(x-u+v_i\cdot e_i)|dv_i du_i d\overline{u}$$
$$= B \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_0^{k_i^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_i(x-u+v_i\cdot e_i)|du_i dv_i d\overline{u}.$$

Observing that the inner integral is independent of v_i we can write

$$= B \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_0^{k_i^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_i(x-u)|du_i dv_i d\overline{u}$$
$$= B \cdot {k_i}^{-1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_i(x-u)|du_i d\overline{u},$$

and obviously this last term is $B \cdot {k_i}^{-1} \cdot \|\phi_i\|_1$. Since we have the property (2), we can apply the same process to obtain for any $n_i > 0$, and any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$|Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y+{n_i}^{-1}\cdot e_i, -x) - Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y,-x)| \leq B \cdot {n_i}^{-1} \cdot \|\psi_i\|_1. \tag{6}$$

If we choose $k_i, n_i \geq 8$ to be the smallest integers that satisfy

$$k_i \geq \frac{8B}{A}(1+\|\phi_i\|_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad n_i \geq \frac{24\pi B}{A}(1+\|\psi_i\|_1),$$

we have

$$|Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i, y) - Z(g*\phi)(x,y)| \leq A/8$$
$$|Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y+{n_i}^{-1}\cdot e_i, -x) - Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y,-x)| \leq A/72$$

We will use the properties of quasiperiodic functions derived in the last section to obtain another estimate, which, combined with the last two will suffice to complete the proof. To this end we introduce a slight modification of $Zg(x,y)$ as follows. Let $G(x,y) := Zg(x,y)$ when $A \leq |Zg(x,y)| \leq B$, and when this is not the case, let $G(x,y) = B$ for $(x,y) \in [0,1)^{2d}$, and extend it so that it will be quasiperiodic. This G is a measurable, complex valued, quasiperiodic function , and $A \leq |G(x,y)| \leq B$ everywhere. Now we define $G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i,y_i) = G(x,y)$ with $(\overline{x},\overline{y})$ denoting an element of $\mathbb{R}^{2d-2}$ obtained by removing x_i, y_i from $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. To this $G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i,y_i)$ we wish to apply the Lemma 2. We see that for any $(\overline{x},\overline{y})$ by definition it is complex valued, quasiperiodic, and satisfies $A \leq |G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x,y)| \leq B$ for all (x_i,y_i). Also by applying Fubini-Tonelli theorem for complete measures, see Theorem 2.39 in [4], or Theorem 8.12 in [10], to $G\chi_{[0,1)^{2d}}$ we see that for almost all $(\overline{x},\overline{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d-2}$ the function $G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}\chi_{[0,1)^2}$ is measurable, and hence by quasiperiodicity for almost all $(\overline{x},\overline{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d-2}$ the function $G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x,y)$ is measurable. Thus we can apply Lemma 2

to this function for almost all $(\overline{x},\overline{y}) \in [0,1)^{2d-2}$. Let $S_{\overline{x},\overline{y},i}$ be the set described in Lemma 2 for such a point $(\overline{x},\overline{y})$ with k_i, n_i as chosen above. Then for $(x_i,y_i) \in S_{\overline{x},\overline{y},i}$ we have

$$|G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i+k_i^{-1},y_i)-G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i,y_i)| \geq A/3 \text{ or } |G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i,y_i+n_i^{-1})-G_{\overline{x},\overline{y}}(x_i,y_i)| \geq A/3.$$

We let S_i'' to be the set of $(x,y) \in [0,1)^{2d}$, such that $(x_i,y_i) \in S_{\overline{x},\overline{y},i}$. This set has measure at least $k_i^{-1}\cdot n_i^{-1}$, and for elements of this set we have

$$|G(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-G(x,y)| \geq A/3 \text{ or } |G(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-G(x,y)| \geq A/3.$$

Since the set F of points for which $Zg \neq G$ has measure zero if we remove from S_i'' the set F and its translations by $-k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i$ and, $-n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i$, the remainder has the same measure as S_i'' and for (x,y) in this remainder, which we will denote by S_i', we have

$$|Zg(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Zg(x,y)| \geq A/3 \text{ or } |Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-Zg(x,y)| \geq A/3.$$

We let $S_i' = U_i' \cup V_i'$ where for elements of U_i' the first of these inequalities holds, and for elements of V_i' the second one holds. Then for $(x,y) \in U_i'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Zg(x,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x,y)|+|Zg(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)|\\
\geq&|Zg(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Zg(x,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)+Z(g*\phi)(x,y)|\\
\geq&|Zg(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Zg(x,y)|-|Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x,y)|\\
\geq&A/6
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore one of the following is certainly true for any element $(x,y) \in U_i'$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Zg(x,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x,y)| &\geq A/12\\
|Zg(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)-Z(g*\phi)(x+k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,y)| &\geq A/12.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have $U_i' = U_{i_1}' \cup U_{i_2}'$ with the element (x,y) belonging to U_{i_1}' set if the first inequality holds, and to U_{i_2}' if the second holds, and to both if both inequalities hold. Obviously at least one of these sets have a measure not less than half of the measure of U_i'. Thus if we consider the union of U_{i_1}' and the translate of U_{i_2}' by $(k_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,0)$, then its measure is not less than half the measure of U_i'. But it may be that some of elements of this union are not in $[0,1]^{2d}$ due to the translation. Therefore we define U_i to be the union of the set of all elements in $[0,1]^{2d}$ and the set of all elements outside $[0,1]^{2d}$ translated by $(-e_i,0)$. This U_i then has at least a quarter of the measure of U_i'. And any element of this set satisfy the first inequality of our lemma.

We now turn to $(x,y) \in V_i'$. We have the equation (6). We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|Z\widehat{g}(y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,-x)-Z\widehat{g}(y,-x)|\\
\geq&|e^{-2\pi i(x\cdot y+n_i^{-1}x_i)}Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-e^{-2\pi i x\cdot y}Zg(x,y)|\\
\geq&|e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-Zg(x,y)|\\
\geq&|e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}[Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-Zg(x,y)]-Zg(x,y)[1-e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}]|\\
\geq&|Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-Zg(x,y)|-|Zg(x,y)[1-e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}]|\\
\geq&|Zg(x,y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)-Zg(x,y)|-B\cdot|1-e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}|
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily estimate, using the unit circle, that $|1-e^{-2\pi i n_i^{-1}x_i}| \leq A/12B$, thus the last term is not less than $A/4$. Combining this with (6), as we did in the case of elements of U_i', we have for $(x,y) \in V_i'$ one of the following certainly true

$$|Z\widehat{g}(y,-x) - Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y,-x)| \geq A/12$$
$$|Z\widehat{g}(y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,-x) - Z(\widehat{g}*\psi)(y+n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i,-x)| \geq A/12.$$

We let $V_i' = V_{i_1}' \cup V_{i_2}'$ as before, and obviously at least one of these sets have a measure not less than half the measure of V_i'. Thus if we consider the union of V_{i_1}' and the translate of V_{i_2}' by $(0, n_i^{-1}\cdot e_i)$, then its measure is not less than half the measure of V_i'. But it may be that some of the elements of this set are not in $[0,1]^{2d}$ due to the traslation applied. We therefore take the union of elements in $[0,1]^{2d}$ with $(0,-e_i)$ translates of those that are not in $[0,1]^{2d}$, and if we set V_i to be the set of points $(y,-x)$ such that (x,y) is in this last union, its measure is not less than a quarter of that of V_i', it lies entirely in $[0,1]^{2d}$ and any element of it satisfy the second inequality of our lemma. We finally define $S_i = U_i \cup V_i$ and easily observe that it satisfies all of the required properties. □

We will use what we learned from the study of the Zak transform of Riesz basis generators to prove Theorem 1. Let g be a function as in the theorem. We pick a Schwarz class function ρ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\widehat{\rho}$ is radially symmetric, and satisfies $|\widehat{\rho}| \leq 1$ everywhere, and

$$\widehat{\rho}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad |\xi| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |\xi| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We define two Schwarz functions ϕ, ψ by

$$\phi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_d) := R_1R_2\dots R_d \cdot \rho(R_1x_1, R_2x_2, \dots, R_dx_d)$$
$$\psi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_d) := L_1L_2\dots L_d \cdot \rho(L_1x_1, L_2x_2, \dots, L_dx_d).$$

Therefore we have $\|\phi_i\|_1 = R_i\|\rho_i\|_1$, and $\|\psi_i\|_1 = L_i\|\rho_i\|_1$. Since ρ is a fixed radial Schwarz function, $\|\rho_i\|_1$ is a fixed constant for every i, which we will denote by Γ. We apply Lemma 3 to obtain for any chosen $1 \leq i \leq d$ a set $S_i \subseteq [0,1]^{2d}$ with measure at least $A/4000B(1+R_i\Gamma)(1+L_i\Gamma)$ such that all $(x,y) \in S_i$ satisfy

$$A/144 \leq |Z\widehat{g}(x,y) - Z(\widehat{g}*\phi)(x,y)|^2 + |Zg(x,y) - Z(g*\psi)(x,y)|^2.$$

Since we assumed in the theorem $R_i, L_i \geq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have $A/4000B(1+R_i\Gamma)(1+L_i\Gamma) \geq A/2^4 10^3 B\Gamma^2 R_iL_i$. Thus if we integrate over S_i

$$\begin{aligned} A^2/10^8 B\Gamma^2 R_iL_i &\leq \||Z\widehat{g} - Z(\widehat{g}*\phi)|^2 + |Zg - Z(g*\psi)|^2\|_{L^1([0,1]^{2d})} \\ &\leq \|Z\widehat{g} - Z(\widehat{g}*\phi)\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^{2d})} + \|Zg - Z(g*\psi)\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^{2d})} \\ &\leq \|Z[\widehat{g} - (\widehat{g}*\phi)]\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^{2d})} + \|Z[g - (g*\psi)]\|^2_{L^2([0,1]^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

As the Zak transform is a unitary operator from $L^2([0,1]^{2d})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$= \|\widehat{g} - (\widehat{g}*\phi)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|g - (g*\psi)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We apply the Plancherel theorem, and use the assumption that $\widehat{\rho}$ is radially symmetric to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|g(1-\widehat{\phi})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\widehat{g}(1-\widehat{\psi})\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned}$$

which proves our theorem with the constant C in the theorem being not more than $A^2/10^8 B\Gamma^2$.

Our theorem can be extended without much effort in two different directions. The first is to take the rectangles $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}$ directed along not the canonical basis but a different orthonormal basis. As long as we take both rectangles directed along the same orthonormal basis, our theorem generalizes easily by employing rotations. The second extension is to more general Gabor systems that are produced by simple scaling of the canonical lattice: for a, b real numbers we define

$$G(g, a, b) := \{e^{2\pi i b n x} g(x - ma)\}_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2d}}.$$

It is possible for such a system to be a Riesz basis if $ab = 1$. Our result also holds for these more general systems, and this can be seen by employing appropriate dilations.

We now present the counterexample showing that our estimate is sharp. Nitzan and Olsen observed that a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ constructed in [2] satisfies $|Zf| = 1$ on all of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and for $R, L \geq 1$

$$\int_{|x|\geq R} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{|\xi|\geq L} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{\log L}{L^2}.$$

Since the Zak transform is unitary we have $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$, and hence from the Plancherel theorem we further have $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1$. This function is a counterexample showing that the result of Nitzan and Olsen, which is the $d = 1$ case of our result, is sharp. We will construct a counterexample from this function to show that our result cannot be improved in any dimension d.

We let x denote $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$ and define on $\mathbb{R}^d$ the function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $g(x) := f(x_1)f(x_2)\ldots f(x_d)$. Owing to this relation $\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$. We then have the same relation between the Fourier transforms of f and g: $\widehat{g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\ldots\widehat{f}(\xi_d)$, and between the Zak transforms we have $Zg(x, y) = Zf(x_1, y_1)Zf(x_2, y_2)\ldots Z(x_d, y_d)$. Therefore $|Zg| = 1$ everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, and this means that g generates a Gabor frame, and satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem. On the other hand for rectangles $\mathcal{R} = (-R_1, R_1) \times \ldots \times (-R_d, R_d)$ and $\mathcal{L} = (-L_1, L_1) \times \ldots \times (-L_d, L_d)$ observe that by the definition of g we have

$$\int_{|x_i|\geq R_i} |g(x)|^2 dx = \int_{|x_i|\geq R_i} |f(x_i)|^2 dx_i, \qquad \int_{|\xi_i|\geq L_i} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{|\xi_i|\geq L_i} |\widehat{f}(\xi_i)|^2 d\xi_i$$

for any index i. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{|x_i|\geq R_i} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{|\xi_i|\geq L_i} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{|x_i|\geq R_i} |f(x_i)|^2 dx_i + \int_{|\xi_i|\geq L_i} |\widehat{f}(\xi_i)|^2 d\xi_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{R_i^2} + \frac{\log L_i}{L_i^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we pick $R_1 = R_2 = \ldots = R_d = R$ and $L_1 = L_2 = \ldots = L_d = L$, and $L = R\log^{1/2} R$ we obtain

$$\leq d \cdot \Big(\frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{\log(R\log^{1/2} R)}{R^2 \log R}\Big) \leq 3d \cdot \frac{1}{R^2},$$

whereas if we could improve right hand side of our estimate as mentioned we would, with such choices of R_i, L_i, have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{R}} |g(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{L}} |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq C \cdot \frac{\log(R^2 \log^{1/2} R)}{R^2 \log^{1/2} R} \geq C \cdot \frac{\log^{1/2} R}{R^2},$$

with a constant C independent of R, which is a clear contradiction

References

[1] Roger Balian, *Un principe d'incertitude fort en théorie du signal ou en mécanique quantique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. II Méc. Phys. Chim. Sci. Univers Sci. Terre **292** (1981), no. 20, 1357-1362.

[2] John J. Benedetto, Wojciech Czaja, Przemyslaw Gadziński, Alexander M. Powell, *The Balian-Low theorem and regularity of Gabor systems*, J. Geom. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 2, 239-254.

[3] Ingrid Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), no. 5, 961-1005.

[4] Gerald B. Folland, *Real analysis*, Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley and Sons Inc., USA, 1999

[5] Karlheinz Gröchenig, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.

[6] Philippe Jaming, *Nazarov's uncertainty principle in higher dimensions*, Journal of Approximation Theory **149** (2007) no. 1 30-41.

[7] Francis E. Low, *Complete sets of wave packets*, A passion for physics - essays in honor of Geoffrey Chew (C. DeTar et al., ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1985, pp.17-22.

[8] Fedor L. Nazarov, *Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type*, Algebra i Analiz **5** (1993), no. 4, 3–66.

[9] Shahaf Nitzan, Jan-Fredrik Olsen, *A Quantitative Balian-Low Theorem*, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 19 (2013), no.5, 1078-1092

[10] Walter Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, Third edition, McGraw Hill Book Company, Singapore, 1987

Department of Mathematics, Izmir Institute of Technology
E-mail address: faruktemur@iyte.edu.tr